

5th Call of the NAMA Facility

Clarifications II

Published on 4 January 2018

Contents

A) Eligible countries	1
B) Eligible sectors and technologies	2
C) Eligible support instruments in NAMA Support Projects (NSPs).....	2
D) Selection criteria	2

Question	A) Eligible countries
CN II-1	<p>Q: Small island developing states (SIDS) are noted to have received limited funding in the previous Calls, while middle-income countries have received a lot of funding for NAMA implementation. Will this influence the decision process for the 5th Call?</p> <p>A: The Donors of the NAMA Facility have acknowledged the continuously high demand for support to NAMA implementation of developing countries and emerging economies, including SIDS and LDCs. Therefore, they intend to provide for the 5th Call the largest funding contribution since the NAMA Facility’s inception. While the NAMA Facility already supports some LDCs, it continues to strongly encourage submissions from both SIDS and LDCs in the 5th Call. The Donors of the NAMA Facility would be keen to see more successful applications from further LDCs and SIDS. Nonetheless, it is reconfirmed that projects are evaluated on their merits only and the assessment process aims at identifying the most promising NAMAs based on the ambition level in the individual country-context. Therefore, the NAMA Facility does not apply any focus based on a regional focus or an affiliation to a certain group of countries. (see also FAQ 4 and CN II-2).</p>
CN II-2	<p>Q: Does the NAMA Facility provide earmarked funding for capacity building and implementation in SIDS?</p> <p>A: No, the NAMA Facility does not provide earmarked funding for capacity building and implementation in SIDS but strongly encourage submissions from SIDS and LDCs (see also FAQ 4 and CN II-1).</p>
CN II-3	<p>Q: Does the NAMA Facility apply a waiver process for Small Island States that are not ODA-eligible, but still are very vulnerable to climate change, suffer from large debt, and are in need for international support?</p> <p>A: Donors’ commitments are earmarked ODA funding. There is no waiver process foreseen for non-ODA eligible countries. A country must be ODA-eligible throughout the entire NSP implementation period. (see also FAQ 1)</p>
CN II-4	<p>Q: NAMAs are national as per se, how does a regional focus fits in? Could you please explain what you define as “regional”?</p> <p>A: NAMAs are indeed national per se; regional NAMAs could be considered in cases when several countries of a geographic region pursue a very similar mitigation policy and would join forces in submitting one NAMA application to develop a relevant size</p>

and lower transaction costs for the NAMA development and implementation, e.g. several SIDS of one region (see also FAQ 5).

Question B) Eligible sectors and technologies

CN II-5 Q: Will the learning from previous Calls with regard to the mitigation effects in certain sectors like forestry and agriculture influence the focus of this 5th Call?

A: The NAMA Facility does not preclude projects types from certain sectors, i.e. NSPs targeting the agricultural and forestry sectors are not disadvantaged per se. Each Outline is assessed on its own merits. The guidance and lessons learned provided suggest that NSPs are strongly encouraged to take into account appropriate calculation tools, methodologies and databases when calculating emission reductions in order to avoid an overestimation.

Question C) Eligible support instruments in NAMA Support Projects (NSPs)

CN II-6 Q: Does the NAMA Facility provide support to revisit the Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) in a certain sector?

A: The NAMA Facility support is targeted at the implementation of mitigation measures in line with NDCs; lessons learned from the NAMA implementation in a certain sector that often is combined with an enhanced MRV system and database for the sector can be used by countries in updates or revisions of their NDCs. However, the NAMA Facility does not provide specific support for the revision or update of the NDCs. Other support programmes (e.g. the NDC partnership) should be directly contacted for potential support in this regard.

Question D) Selection Criteria

CN II-7 Q: On page 20 of the General Information Document, it is stated that an applicant must have greater than three years of proven work experience in the country of implementation. Must these three years be related to the technical area which is proposed in the Outline or is it just three years in any area of work?

A: It would be preferable if the experience pertains to the sector in which the project intends to intervene but also experience in other sectors will be taken into account.

CN II-8 Q: What is meant by “Replicability/at national and/or regional level” when the NAMA Facility assesses the potential for transformational change?

A: The support provided by the NAMA Facility can finance only the most ambitious parts of the overall NAMA. In order to assess whether the support can trigger changes in the (sub-) sector beyond the directly supported interventions, the level of replication within the country (at national level) or in other countries of the region (at regional level), ideally within the NSP implementation period, is an indicator for the potential for transformational change (see also FAQ 23).

Last but not least...

Could you not find an answer to your question?

In line with our [clarification policy for the 5th Call](#), please submit your question in writing to contact@nama-facility.org. We will publish the clarification on the NAMA Facility’s website and also

respond to your query directly. You can also submit your further question during the next webinar dedicated to the 5th Call on 31 January 2018.